UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/740,748	12/19/2003	Tin Qian	M1103.70168US00	4932
	7590 07/31/200 IFIELD (Microsoft Co	EXAMINER		
C/O WOLF, GI	REENFIELD & SACK	WANG, HARRIS C		
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2439	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/740,748	QIAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HARRIS C. WANG	2439		

	HARRIS C. WANG	2439	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	!ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 27 CER 4.126(a). The date	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" "Ib 07 OFD 44 07 Ib I	"la de 100 to 100 o oco o 100	6 (- 1 - 1 6
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		:cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment (1 1 OL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7, 11-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Regarding the Applicant's argument concerning the 112 the class "System.Firewall.Policy.InstantiationTemplate" Examiner fails to see any mention of the word "create." I limitations unless the meaning can unquestionably deter arguments regarding the 103 rejections are addressed in	rejection, the Applicant points to Ex still only provides instantiating a te Furthermore, computer code is not mined. Therefore the 112 rejection	khibit C of the specific mplate and NOT crea applicable for the sup	cation. However ation of a policy. port new
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).			
13. Other:	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090720

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant has amended to include that the second user is "specifying." This is different than the previous claim limitation which only claimed that the second user had a second policy but did not elucidate who did the specifying. Also applicant has amended to remove the "wherein" which changes it from intended use as argued in Page 2 of the Final.